

EXHIBIT C

TABLE 1 Fee Awards of 33.33% or Greater Within District of Kansas		
Case	Recovery Amount	Fee Percentage
<i>In re EpiPen Mktg, Sales Prac., and Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 17-md-DDC-TJJ (D. Kan. Nov. 17, 2021) (Dkt. No. 2506)	\$345 million	33.33%
<i>In re Syngenta AG MIR 162 Corn Litig.</i> , 357 F. Supp. 3d 1094, 1113-14 (D. Kan. 2018)	\$1.51 billion	33.33%
<i>In re: Urethane Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 04-16161-JWL, 2016 WL 4060156, at *8 (D. Kan. July 29, 2016)	\$835 million	33.33%
<i>Hershey v. ExxonMobil Oil Corp.</i> , No. 07-1300-JTM, 2012 WL 5306260, *1, 7-8 (D. Kan. Oct. 26, 2012)	\$54 million	33.33%
<i>Eatinger v. BP America Prod. Co.</i> , No. 07-1266-EFM (D. Kan. Sept. 17, 2012) (Dkt. No. 375)	\$19 million	33.33%
<i>In re Universal Serv. Fund Tel. Billing Pracs.</i> , No. 02-MD-1468-JWL, 2011 WL 1808038, at *2 (D. Kan. May 12, 2011)	\$16.9 million	33.33%
<i>In re Urethane Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 04-MD-1616-JWL (D. Kan. Dec. 13, 2011) (Dkt. No. 2210) (Huntsman & BASF)	\$84 million	33.33%
<i>In re Urethane Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 04-MD-1616-JWL (D. Kan. July 29, 2009) (Dkt. No. 995) (Bayer)	\$58.9 million	33.33%
<i>Williams v. Sprint/United Mgmt. Co.</i> , No. 03-2200-JWL, 2007 WL 2694029, at *6 (D. Kan. Sept. 11, 2007)	\$57 million	35%
<i>In re United Telecommc'ns Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 90-2251-0, 1994 WL 326007, at *3-4 (D. Kan. June 1, 1994)	\$28 million	33.33%

TABLE 2 Fee Awards of 33.33% or Greater Within Tenth Circuit		
Case	Recovery Amount	Fee Percentage
<i>Cecil v. BP America Prod. Co.</i> , No. 16-CV-410-KEW, 2018 WL 8367957, at *4-8 (E.D. Okla. Nov. 19, 2018)	\$147 million	40%
<i>Chieftain Royalty Co. v. XTO Energy, Inc.</i> , No. CIV-11-29-KEW, 2018 WL 2296588, at *4 (E.D. Okla. Mar. 27, 2018)	\$80 million	40%
<i>Chieftain Royalty Co. v. QEP Energy Co.</i> , No. CIV-11-212-R, 2013 WL 12090676, at *3 (W.D. Okla. May 31, 2013)	\$155 million	39%

TABLE 3 | Fee Awards of 33.33% or Greater Outside Tenth Circuit

Case	Recovery Amount	Fee Awarded
<i>Haddock v. Nationwide Life Ins. Co.</i> , No. 01-cv-01552-SRU (D. Conn. Apr. 9, 2015) (Dkt. No. 601)	\$140 million	35%
<i>In re U.S. Foodservice, Inc. Pricing Litig.</i> , No. 07-md-01894 (D. Conn. Dec. 9, 2014) (Dkt. No. 521)	\$297 million	33.33%
<i>Standard Iron Works v. ArcelorMittal</i> , No. 08-cv-05214, 2014 WL 7781572, at *1 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 22, 2014)	\$164 million	33.33%
<i>In re Neurontin Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 2:02-cv-01830 (D.N.J. July 6, 2014) (Dkt. No. 114)	\$190 million	33.33%
<i>In re Plasma-Derivative Protein Therapies Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 09-CV-07666 (N.D. Ill. 2014) (Dkt. Nos. 693, 697, 697-1, and 701)	\$128 million	33.33%
<i>In re Flonase Antitrust Litig.</i> , 951 F. Supp. 2d 739, 747-751 (E.D. Pa. 2013)	\$150 million	33.33%
<i>In re Se. Milk Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 07-CV-208, 2013 WL 2155387, at *8 (E.D. Tenn. May 17, 2013)	\$158.6 million	33.33%
<i>In re Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 10-cv-00318 (D. Md. Dec. 13, 2013) (Dkt. No. 555)	\$163.5 million	33.33%
<i>In re Apollo Grp. Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 04-cv-02147-PHX-JAT, 2012 WL 1378677 (D. Ariz. Apr. 20, 2012)	\$145 million	33.33%
<i>In re Initial Pub. Offering Sec. Litig.</i> , 671 F. Supp. 2d 467 (S.D.N.Y. 2009)	\$510 million	33.33%
<i>In re Tricor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 05-cv-00340-SLR (D. Del. Apr. 23, 2009) (Dkt. No. 543)	\$250 million	33.33%
<i>In re OSB Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 06-cv-00826 (D. Pa. Dec. 9, 2008) (Dkt. No. 947)	\$120.7 million	33.33%
<i>In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 01-cv-12239-WGY (D. Mass. Apr. 9, 2004) (Dkt. No. 297)	\$175 million	33.33%
<i>In re Buspirone Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 01-md-01413-JGK (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 18, 2003) (Dkt. No. 171)	\$220 million	33.33%

<i>DeLoach v. Phillip Morris Co.</i> , No. 00- cv-01235, 2003 WL 25683496 (M.D.N.C. Dec. 19, 2003)	\$212 million	33.33%
<i>In re Vitamins Antitrust Litig.</i> , No. 99-197, 2001 WL 34312839 (D.D.C. July 16, 2001)	\$365 million	34.06%
<i>In re Merry-Go-Round Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 244 B.R. 327 (Bankr. D. Md. 2000)	\$185 million	40%
<i>In re Combustion, Inc.</i> , 968 F. Supp. 1116 (W.D. La. 1997)	\$127 million	36%